1 2 3 4 5	THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County County of San Diego By STEPHANIE KARNAVAS, Senior Deputy TIMOTHY M. BARRY, Chief Deputy (Sta 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355 San Diego, CA 92101-2469 Telephone: (619) 531-5834 E-mail: stephanie.karnavas@sdcounty.ca.gov Exempt From Filing Fees (Gov't Code § 610)	y (State Bar No. 255596) ate Bar No. 89019)
6	Attorneys for Defendants	
7		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION	
0		
11	CITIZENS OVERSIGHT, INC., a Delaware non-profit corporation; RAYMOND LUTZ, an individual,	No. 37-2017-00027595-CU-MC-CTL Action Filed: July 25, 2017
13	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY M. BARRY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MICHAEL VU AND COUNTY OF SAN
4	v.	DIEGO DEMURRER TO COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND
15 16	MICHAEL VU, San Diego Registrar of Voters; SAN DIEGO COUNTY, a public entity; DOES 1-10,	MANDAMUS FOR VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT
17	Defendants.) IMAGED FILE
18	S CIGHUMNOS.	Date: October 13, 2017 Time: 10:30 a.m.
19	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Dept.: 66 ICJ: Hon. Kenneth J. Medel
20)
21	I, Timothy M. Barry, declare as follows:	
22	1. I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge, except for matters	
23	set forth on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true, and if	
24	called upon to testify herein, I could and would, competently testify to the following facts.	
25	2. I am a Chief Deputy County Counsel with the Office of County Counsel for the	
26	County of San Diego. I have been employed with the Office of County Counsel for more than	
27	nineteen years.	
28	///	

- 3. I am one of the attorneys who are responsible for defending the County in the above-captioned litigation.
- 4. On August 31, 2017, I contacted Alan Geraci, counsel for plaintiffs/petitioners, by telephone. At that time, I indicated to counsel that we intended to file a demurrer to the complaint and petition for writ of mandate filed by plaintiffs/petitioners on the grounds that the complaint/petition failed to set forth facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against defendants/respondents. Specifically, I informed counsel that the ballots that were requested to be produced had been sealed as required by state law and were exempt from production pursuant to Government Code §6254(k).
- 5. In response, counsel indicated that he had a theory as to why there was a legal basis for the action, but he did not articulate what that theory was. He stated that we should just file an answer to the complaint/petition and that he intended to file a motion to have the matter determined on the merits.
- 6. I indicated that I was not comfortable filing an answer in lieu of a demurrer, at which time he indicated that we should go ahead and file the demurrer.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 11th day of September, 2017, at San Diego, California.

TIMOTHY M. BARRY